

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/646,290 | LETOVSKY, HOWARD | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Hoang M Nguyen | 3748 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: Rejected

 (1) Hoang M Nguyen (PTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Letovsky (Applicant).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 June 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending rejections

Claims discussed:

5-6

Prior art documents discussed:

All applied references
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner proposed changes to claims 5-6 to put this application in condition of allowance. Note the Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)